Jeremy Smeltser Chief Financial Officer Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 3001 Deming Way Middleton, WI 53562

> Re: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2023 Response dated June 26, 2024 File No. 001-04219

Dear Jeremy Smeltser:

We have reviewed your June 26, 2024 response to our comment letter and have the following comments.

Please respond to this letter within ten business days by providing the requested

information or advise us as soon as possible when you will respond. If you do not believe a

comment applies to your facts and circumstances, please tell us why in your response.

After reviewing your response to this letter, we may have additional comments. Unless we

note otherwise, any references to prior comments are to comments in our June 12, 2024 letter.

Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2023 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Non-GAAP Measurements, page 34

1. Disclosure in your Form 10-K states that Adjusted EBITDA provides useful information

to investors because it reflects the ongoing operating performance and trends of your $% \left\{ 1,2,...,n\right\}$

segments, excluding certain non-cash based expenses and/or non-recurring items during

each of the comparable periods. Please revise your disclosure in future filings to more

clearly explain why Adjusted EBITDA on a consolidated basis is useful to investors as a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

non-GAAP performance measure. Refer to Item $10\,(e)\,(1)\,(i)\,(C)$ of Regulation S-K.

2. We note that Adjusted EBITDA has been disclosed as the measure of profit or loss for $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

your reportable segments pursuant to FASB ASC 280. However, you provide

reconciliation from Net income (loss) from continuing operations to ${\tt EBITDA}$ and

Adjusted EBITDA for your reportable segments. In addition, it does not appear that $\mathop{\rm Net}\nolimits$

income (loss) from continuing operations for your reportable segments includes income $\,$ July 31, 2024 $\,$

July 31, 2024

Page 2

 $\,$ tax expense or interest expense. Please tell us how you determined that the presentation

provided is consistent with Items $10\left(e\right)\left(1\right)\left(i\right)\left(A\right)$ and (B) of Regulation S-K. For additional

guidance, refer to Question 104.01 of the Division of Corporation Finance Compliance

& Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

3. Your March 29 response to comment 2 regarding the Tristar Business acquisition and

integration adjustment refers to incremental compensation for personnel supporting

transition and integration efforts during the transitionary period. Please quantify these $\,$

costs for each of the last two fiscal years and describe the roles performed by these $\,$

personnel.

4. Your May 20 response to comment 1 regarding the non-GAAP adjustments for the HPC

brand portfolio transitions notes a shift in strategy required for the utilization of your

brands towards brand development and acquisition to transition away from

use of the

Black & Decker tradename ($\,$ the B+D tradename). Newhether actions such as

shifts in strategy and managing the transition of your business to new brands are deemed

to be a normal activity considering your operations, revenue generating activities, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

business strategy. Also, tell us the extent to which the sizeable investment necessary to

transition away from the B+D tradename $$\operatorname{\textsc{was}}$$ a factor in applying Question 100.01 of the

Division of Corporation Finance Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP

Financial Measures to this adjustment.

5. With regards to costs associated with the Global ERP Transformation, your March 29

response to comment 2 notes the non-GAAP adjustments include incremental compensatory costs for a dedicated project management team. Please tell us whether the

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

consultants and describe their roles and responsibilities. In addition, quantify the amount

of compensatory costs included in this non-GAAP adjustment for each of the last two $\,$

fiscal years and the most recent interim period.

6. Your May 20 response to comment 2 regarding the Strategic Plan Development

component of the non-GAAP adjustment for Other project costs explains that you $\,$

engaged with a third-party consulting partner to assist in the development of a long-term $\,$

strategic plan in consideration of the divestiture transactions that you had executed or

were in process of executing. Please describe the services provided by these consultants in

greater detail and further explain why costs incurred related to long-term strategic

planning are not a normal, recurring operating expense per Question 100.01 of the

Division of Corporation Finance Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP

Financial Measures.

7. We note from your June 26 response to comment 3 that the HPC Business Transformation $\,$

component of Other project costs includes retention related costs for two key executive $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

positions. Please revise to remove this adjustment as these costs appear to be normal,

recurring operating expenses based on Question 100.01 of the Division of Corporation

Finance Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

8. Your May 20 response to comment 2 states that the Business Development Office $\,$

component of Other project costs primarily consists of personnel costs related to business

development activities, diligence, and transformation initiatives. As these costs appear to

be normal, recurring operating expenses based on Question 100.01 of the Division of

July 31, 2024

Page 3

Corporation Finance Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP Financial

Measures, please revise to remove the related non-GAAP adjustment.

9. In your May 20 response to comment 2, you state that the recognition of the IPL Product

Category Exit component of Other project costs was triggered by your decision to

completely exit the product category. As the costs related to your exit from the $\ensuremath{\mathtt{IPL}}$

product category, such as the costs incurred to dispose of the remaining product and parts,

appear to be normal, recurring operating expenses based on Question 100.01 of the $\,$

Division of Corporation Finance Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP

Financial Measures, please revise to remove this adjustment.

10. Your response dated June 26 to comment 4 states that the unallocated

shared costs

adjustment relates to a shared operating center for the consolidated group attributable to

the HHI segment that are excluded from the reporting of discontinued operations. Please

revise to remove this non-GAAP adjustment as it appears that these costs are normal,

recurring operating expenses based on Question 100.01 of the Division of Corporation

Finance Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations on Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

We note from your May 20 response to comment 5 that the costs associated with the non-

GAAP adjustments for HPC product disposal were contributed by the Tristar Business

acquisition, the realization of poor product performance, and quality issues associated

with products from the acquisition. Please explain in greater detail why these losses are

unusual (e.g., further address the relevant facts and circumstances specific to the Tristar

Business acquisition, the timing of the inventory disposition, and the link to product

recalls) and clarify the nature of the poor product performance and quality issues noted in

your response.

12. Please clarify your May 20 response to comment 6 as it does not appear to adequately

describe the circumstances leading to the product recalls and explain how the activities

and actions taken were event driven and specific to responses required by the CPSC. In

addition, explain the statement that facilitating product recalls is not a component of your

normal operations and are not a substantial consideration for your product history as risk

factor disclosure in your Form 10-K appears to indicate that you may be subject to

product liability claims and product recalls in the ordinary course of your business.

Please contact Dale Welcome at 202-551-3865 or Martin James at 202-551-3671 if you

have questions regarding comments on the financial statements and related matters.

Sincerely,

Division of

Corporation Finance

Manufacturing

Office of