[X]

[]

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 1-4219

ZAPATA CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

State of Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

C-74-1339132 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 Meridian Centre, Suite 350 Rochester, NY

(Address of principal executive offices)

14618 (Zip Code)

(585) 242-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] or No [].

Indicate by "X" whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2002 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was approximately \$36,797,000. For the sole purpose of making this calculation, the term "non-affiliate" has been interpreted to exclude directors, corporate officers and holders of 10% or more of the Company's common stock. As of April 30, 2003, the Registrant had outstanding 2,390,849 shares common stock, \$0.01 par value.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements and Notes

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Changes in Securities

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

SIGNATURES

CERTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 302(a) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

CERTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 302(a) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

FORM OF INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

STATEMENT REGARDING COMPUTATION OF EARNINGS

CERTIFICATION OF CEO

CERTIFICATION OF CFO

ZAPATA CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2003 (unaudited) and December 31, 2002	3
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2002	4
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2002	5
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	22
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	22
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	23
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	23
Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities	23
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
Item 5.	Other Information	23
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	23
Signatures		25
Certificatio	on under Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	26
Exhibits		28

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements and Notes

ZAPATA CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

	March 31, 2003 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2002
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,994	\$ 80,643
Short-term investments	78,156	35,928
Accounts receivable, net	9,772	13,070
Inventories, net	38,236	41,939
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,857	4,015
Total current assets	175,015	175,595
Investments and other assets:		
Long-term investments, available for sale	4,004	4,016
Other assets	24,700	24,524
Total investments and other assets	28,704	28,540
Property, plant and equipment, net	82,018	80,842
Total assets	\$285,737	\$284,977
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 1,292	\$ 1,270
Accounts payable	2,372	2,718
Accrued liabilities	21,941	23,027
Total current liabilities	25,605	27,015
Long-term debt	13,908	14,239
Pension liabilities	12,188	11,835
Other liabilities and deferred taxes	1,741	1,608
Minority interest	56,156	55,018
Total liabilities	109,598	109,715
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity: Preferred stock, (\$.01 par), 200,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002	_	<u>_</u>
Preference stock, (\$.01 par), 1,800,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002	_	_
Common stock, (\$0.01 par), 16,500,000 shares authorized, 3,069,859 shares issued and 2,390,849 shares outstanding as of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002	31	31
Capital in excess of par value	162,172	162,037
Retained earnings	50,966	50,216
Treasury stock, at cost, 679,010 shares as of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002	(31,668)	(31,668)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(5,362)	(5,354)
Total stockholders' equity	176,139	175,262
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$285,737	\$284,977

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAPATA CORPORATION UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

		Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002	
Revenues	\$25,101	\$23,479	
Cost of revenues	18,679	16,924	
Gross profit	6,422	6,555	
Operating expense:			
Selling, general and administrative	3,676	3,128	
Total operating expenses	3,676	3,128	
Operating income	2,746	3,427	
Other income (expense):			
Interest income, net	121	197	
Other income (expense), net	21 	(52)	
	142	145	
Income before income taxes and minority interest	2,888	3,572	
Provision for income taxes	(1,089)	(1,270)	
Minority interest in net income of consolidated subsidiary	(1,049)	(1,078)	
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 750	\$ 1,224	
		, ,	
Basic and diluted net income per share	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.51	
Weighted average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	2,391	2,391	
Dasic	2,391	2,391	
Diluted	2,401	2,396	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAPATA CORPORATION UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

	Three Months ended March 31,	
	2003	rch 31, 2002
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 750	\$ 1,224
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,978	2,528
Loss on disposal of assets	(77)	_
Provisions for losses on receivables	44	170
Additional minimum pension liability	_	231
Minority interest in net income of consolidated subsidiary	1,049	1,078
Deferred income taxes	1,084	2,054
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	3,387	(1,032)
Inventories	3,703	4,913
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5	89
Accounts payable	(346)	(415)
Pension liabilities	353	335
Accrued liabilities	(1,086)	(1,162)
Other assets and liabilities	(1,627)	(551)
Total adjustments	9,467	8,238
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,217	9,462
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from disposition of assets, net	83	_
Purchase of short-term investments	(78,156)	(34,752)
Purchase of long-term investments	(70,100)	(8,994)
Proceeds of maturities of short-term investments	35,832	33,948
Capital expenditures	(3,526)	(2,542)
Net cash used in investing activities	(45,767) ———	(12,340)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Principal payments of short- and long-tem obligations	(309)	(353)
Proceeds from subsidiary stock option exercises	210	_
Net cash used in financing activities	(99)	(353)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(35,649)	(3,231)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	80,643	62,477
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 44,994	\$ 59,246

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAPATA CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Operations and Basis of Presentation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Zapata Corporation ("Zapata" or the "Company"), without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present such information. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Although Zapata believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures, including a description of significant accounting policies normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in Zapata's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and with the information presented by Omega Protein Corporation and Zap.Com Corporation on their 2002 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of the results for any subsequent quarter or the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2003.

Business Description

Zapata Corporation is a holding company which currently has one principal operating company, Omega Protein Corporation ("Omega Protein" or "Omega"), in which it has a 60% ownership interest. Omega Protein is the nation's largest marine protein company and is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "OME." In addition, Zapata owns 98% of its subsidiary, Zap.Com Corporation ("Zap.Com"), which is currently a public shell corporation and trades on the over-the-counter electronic bulletin board under the symbol "ZPCM." Zapata management has been authorized to explore ways to enhance stockholder value through a possible strategic transaction involving Omega or one or more acquisitions of new businesses.

Omega Protein produces and markets a variety of products produced from menhaden (a herring-like species of fish found in commercial quantities in the U.S. coastal waters of the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico), including regular grade and value-added specialty fish meals, crude and refined fish oils and fish solubles. Omega processes several grades of fish meal (regular or "FAQ" meal and specialty meals), as well as fish oil and fish solubles. Omega's fish meal products are primarily used as a protein ingredient in animal feed for swine, cattle, aquaculture and household pets. Fish oil is utilized for animal and aquaculture feeds, industrial applications, as well as for additives to human food products. Omega's fish solubles are sold primarily to livestock feed manufacturers, aquaculture feed manufacturers and for use as an organic fertilizer. Omega's stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "OME."

Zap.Com was in the Internet industry and its stock is traded on the over-the-counter market on the NASD's OTC Electronic Bulletin Board under the symbol "ZPCM." In December 2000, Zap.Com exited the Internet business and terminated all salaried employees and third party contractual relationships. Currently, Zap.Com does not have any existing business operations, other than maintaining its status as a public entity. Zap.Com is likely to search for assets or businesses that it can acquire so that it can become an operating company. Zap.Com may also consider developing a new business suitable for its situation.

As used throughout this report, "Zapata Corporate" is defined as Zapata Corporation exclusive of its majority owned subsidiaries Omega Protein and Zap.Com.

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include Zapata and its wholly and majority-owned domestic and foreign subsidiaries (collectively, "Zapata" or the "Company"). Consolidated financial statements are financial statements of

a parent company and its subsidiaries presented as if the entities were a single economic unit. Although the assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses of all entities are combined to provide a single set of financial statements, certain eliminations and adjustments are made. These eliminations are necessary to ensure that only arm's-length transactions between independent parties are reflected in the consolidated statements; transactions between related parties are eliminated. In addition, when the parent company consolidates non-wholly owned subsidiaries, minority interest on the consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations represents the minority stockholders' (those other than the parent company) interest in the net assets and net income (loss) of such subsidiaries.

Entities where Zapata can exercise significant influence, but not control, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Whether or not Zapata exercises significant influence with respect to a company depends on an evaluation of several factors including, among others, representation on the company's board of directors and ownership level, generally 20%-50% interest in the voting securities of the company including voting rights associated with the Company's holdings in common, preferred and other convertible instruments in the company.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock- based compensation according to Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and the related interpretations under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 44, "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation." The Company adopted the required disclosure provisions under FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 and continues to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. Had compensation expense for the Company's stock option grants been determined based on fair value at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, the Company's net income and net income per share (basic and diluted) would have been as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 2002 (unaudited) (unaudited)	
	(in th	nousands)
Net income , as reported	\$ 750 `	\$ 1,224
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax effects:		
Zapata Corporate	12	13
Omega Protein	116	123
Zap.Com	_	13
Total pro forma charge	128	149
Pro forma net income	\$ 622	\$ 1,075
	_	
Earnings per share:		
Basic and diluted – as reported	\$0.31	\$ 0.51
	_	_
Basic and diluted – pro forma	\$0.26	\$ 0.45

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications of prior year information have been made to conform to the current presentation.

Note 3. Short-Term Investments

Short-term investments are summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2003 (unaudited)	December 31, 2002
	(in tl	nousands)
Federal National Mortgage Association Discount Note	\$24,731	\$25,061
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Discount Note	14,815	5,455
Federal Home Loan Bank Discount Note	34,604	1,413
Federal Farm Credit Bank	2,490	2,483
Commercial Paper	1,516	1,516
	\$78,156	\$35,928

Interest rates on these investments ranged from 1.15%—1.78% and 1.26%—1.88% at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively.

Note 4. Inventories

Inventories summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2003 (unaudited)	December 31, 2002
	(in th	iousands)
Fish meal	\$10,650	\$21,564
Fish oil	4,262	9,583
Fish solubles	530	843
Off season cost	18,343	5,464
Materials and supplies	4,4 51	4,485
	\$38,236	\$41,939

As of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, consolidated inventory consists exclusively of the inventory of Omega Protein. Inventory at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 is stated at the lower of cost or market. The elements of cost include plant and vessel related labor, utilities, rent, repairs and depreciation.

Note 5. Debt

The Company's long-term debt consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2003 (unaudited)	December 31, 2002
	(in the	ousands)
U.S. government guaranteed obligations (Title XI loan)		
collateralized by a first lien on certain vessels and certain plant assets:		
Amounts due in installments through 2016, interest from 6.63% to 7.60%	\$14,246	\$14,531
Amounts due in installments through 2014, interest at Eurodollar rates of 1.85%;		
and 2.26% at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively, plus 4.5%	913	933
Other debt at 7.9% and 8.0% at March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	41	45
Total debt	15,200	15,509
Less: current maturities	1,292	1,270
Long-term debt	\$13,908	\$14,239

At March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, the estimated fair value of debt obligations approximated book value.

Originally, Omega Protein was authorized to receive up to \$20.6 million in loans under the Title XI program, and has used the entire amount authorized under such program. The Title XI loans are secured by liens on certain of Omega's fishing vessels and mortgages on Omega Protein's Reedville, Virginia and Abbeville, Louisiana plants. Loans are now available under similar terms pursuant to the Title XI program without intervening lenders.

Omega Protein has made application for approximately \$4.9 million in loans under the Title XI program in the current year and expects closing to occur in the second or third quarter of 2003.

On December 20, 2000 Omega Protein entered into a three-year \$20 million revolving credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. (the "Credit Facility"). Borrowings under this facility may be used for working capital and capital expenditures. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bears interest at a rate equal to (i) LIBOR plus 250 basis points or (ii) at Omega's option, the Bank's prime rate. The Credit Facility requires a per annum commitment fee of one-half of a percent (0.5%) on the daily average unused portion of the commitment of the Lender. The Credit Facility is collateralized by all of Omega's trade receivables, inventory and equipment. Omega Protein and its subsidiaries are required to comply with certain financial covenants, including maintenance of a minimum tangible net worth and minimum EBITDA. In addition, the Credit Facility does not allow for the payment of cash dividends or stock repurchases and also limits capital expenditures and investments. Omega Protein is in compliance with the Credit Facility covenants at March 31, 2003. As of March 31, 2003 Omega had no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility.

At March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, Omega Protein had outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, issued primarily in support of worker's compensation insurance programs.

Note 6. Earnings Per Share Information

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations:

	Income Numerator	Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
		(in thousands)	
Basic EPS			
Net income available to common stockholders	\$750	2,391	\$0.31
Effect of dilutive stock options	_	10	_
Diluted EPS			
Net income available to common stockholders	\$750	2,401	\$0.31
	Income Numerator	hree Months Ended March 31, 2002 Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
Basic EPS	Income	March 31, 2002 Shares	
Basic EPS Net income available to common stockholders	Income	March 31, 2002 Shares (Denominator)	
	Income Numerator	March 31, 2002 Shares (Denominator) (in thousands)	Amount
Net income available to common stockholders	Income Numerator	March 31, 2002 Shares (Denominator) (in thousands)	Amount
Net income available to common stockholders Effect of dilutive stock options	Income Numerator	March 31, 2002 Shares (Denominator) (in thousands)	Amount

Basic EPS was computed by dividing reported earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Options to purchase approximately 119,000 and 111,000 common shares at a weighted average price of \$46.92 and \$46.81 were outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS since the exercise price of the options was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period.

Note 7. Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income are as follows:

		onths Ended rch 31,
	2003 (unaudited)	2002 (unaudited)
	(in the	ousands)
Net income	\$750	\$1,224
Unrealized loss on securities, net of tax effects	(8)	(30)
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax effects	_	231
	\$742	\$1,425
	_	

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

A non-operating wholly-owned subsidiary of Zapata, Energy Industries, Inc., was named as a defendant in three cases commenced in 1996 and 1997 pending in the 83rd Judicial District Court of Upton County, Texas involving the death of one individual and personal injuries to two others. The cases resulted from an explosion and fire at a gas processing plant in Upton County caused by the alleged failure of a valve cover. Zapata was named as a defendant in one of the cases. The owners of the plant have also filed a cross-claim against Energy Industries for property damage and lost profits resulting from the explosion and fire. Plaintiffs and the cross-plaintiff owners base their claim on a theory of manufacturing or design defect of the valve cover. Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages. Zapata and Energy Industries deny liability in each of the lawsuits, and have vigorously contested these matters and intend to vigorously defend against these actions. In January 2002, the primary insurance carrier for Zapata and Energy Industries claimed for the first time that it did not believe that Energy Industries had primary insurance coverage for the losses arising out of these incidents. This is despite the fact that this primary insurance carrier had been providing for the defense of these actions and had not reserved its rights with respect to that defense. While the primary insurance carrier has not yet discontinued providing for the defense of these actions, it has since formally disclaimed and, in fact, has brought a declaratory judgment action claiming it does not owe a duty of indemnification. A loss of primary insurance coverage should not jeopardize the excess coverage that Zapata or Energy Industries has for these claims. These cases involve plaintiffs with very serious injuries, including death. While the results of any ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on Zapata's results of operations, cash flow or financial position.

Zapata and Omega Protein were named as defendants in a lawsuit instituted on March 10, 2003 in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada by Omega Protein shareholder Robert Strougo. Plaintiff brought the action individually and as a putative class action on behalf of all Omega Protein stockholders. No class period has been identified. Also named as defendants in the lawsuit are Avram A. Glazer, Chairman, President and CEO of Zapata and Darcie Glazer, a director of Zapata, both of whom are also directors of Omega Protein, and all other Omega Protein directors. Plaintiff claims that the individual defendants and Zapata breached their fiduciary duties to Omega Protein's stockholders by not properly considering a so-called offer sent via e-mail to Zapata by Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford, a Florida partnership. News reports have identified a Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford partner, Theodore Roxford, as the former Lawrence Niren. Mr. Roxford is the subject of a March 18, 2003 New York Times article entitled "A Financial Big Shot With an Unusual Past" and a June 19, 1995 Forbes article entitled "Stop Me Before I Steal Again". The complaint alleges that the "offer" was to acquire all of Zapata's shares for \$45.00 per share. It also alleges that the offer was to acquire all of Omega's shares for \$45.00 per share. Plaintiff claims that Zapata and the individual defendants breached their duties to Omega's stockholders by rejecting the purported offer and that Omega Protein's stockholders have been damaged by being prevented from receiving a fair price for their stock. Plaintiff seeks an order directing the defendants to carry out their fiduciary duties to Omega Protein's stockholders, to refrain from breaching them, and awarding plaintiff unspecified compensatory damages and his costs and expenses incurred in the action. The Company is not aware of any e-mail sent by Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford to Omega Protein or any offer for the purchase of Omega Protein shares. The Company believes that the claims are wit

The Company has moved to dismiss the action. Omega Protein has answered the complaint denying all allegations and has moved for summary judgment. On April 17, 2003, Plaintiff's counsel filed papers in opposition to Zapata's motion to dismiss and Omega's motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff's counsel also filed a cross motion to amend the complaint and a proposed amended complaint. The amended complaint seeks to add new plaintiffs, both

of whom are alleged to be Zapata and Omega stockholders and add Zapata's other directors as additional defendants. The proposed amended complaint makes additional factual allegations and alleges breach of fiduciary duties owed by the defendants to Omega and Zapata stockholders by not considering the so-called offer referred to in the original complaint and a second so-called offer sent via e-mail by Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford on March 9, 2003. The proposed amended complaint alleges that initial offer was raised to \$50.00 per share contingent on Zapata and certain of the named defendants meeting with Hollingsworth, Rothwell, and Roxford. The Company has filed responsive papers in support of its motion to dismiss and in opposition to the proposed amendment. Motion argument is scheduled for May 12, 2003.

Zapata is involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its past and current operations in the normal course of business. Zapata maintains insurance coverage against such potential ordinary course claims in an amount in which it believes to be adequate. While the results of any ultimate resolution cannot be predicted, in the opinion of Zapata's management, based upon discussions with counsel, any losses resulting from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on Zapata's results of operations, cash flow or financial position.

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to various possible claims and lawsuits regarding environmental matters. Management believes that costs, if any, related to these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

Tax Assessment

Omega Protein has informally been notified by representatives from the Vermillion Parish and St. Mary Parish tax authorities in Louisiana of undefined deficiencies in parish sales and use taxes for Omega's 1997 to 2000 tax years. As of March 31, 2003, the proposed adjustments to the parish sales and use tax returns for the calendar years ending 1997 through 2000 have not yet been assessed. Omega expects the proposed adjustments will claim additional tax, including penalties and interest through March 31, 2003 and has recorded a provision adequate to cover these adjustments. Omega Protein intends to contest the proposed adjustments vigorously.

Capital Commitments

Omega Protein has committed approximately \$16 million to build a new 100 – metric ton per day fish oil processing facility at its Reedville, Virginia location. The commitments covered by this agreement aggregate approximately \$12 million and \$4 million for the periods 2003 and 2004, respectively.

Guarantees

The Company has applied the disclosure provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others," to its agreements containing guarantee or indemnification clauses. These disclosure provisions expand those required by SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," by requiring a guarantor to disclose certain types of guarantees, even if the likelihood of requiring the guarantor's performance is remote.

During February 2003, Zapata's directors and officers entered into indemnification agreements with the Company. These agreements provide additional rights to persons entitled to indemnification that is currently provided under the Company's Articles of Incorporation and By-laws and will protect the officers and directors from losses incurred as a result of claims made against such individuals arising out of, or because of their service to the Company. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, Zapata maintains Director and Officer Liability insurance that limits this exposure. As a result of this insurance coverage, it is the opinion of Zapata's management that the estimated fair value of any liabilities under these indemnification agreements is minimal and accordingly, no liabilities have been recorded under the provisions of FIN 45.

Management continues to assess agreements for potential impacts under the provisions of FIN No. 45. A description of the arrangements in which the Company is the guarantor is provided within the Company's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, the Company's consolidated subsidiaries have entered into guarantees that fall under the provisions of FIN 45. As of the date of this filing, no liabilities have been recorded by the Company's consolidated subsidiaries related to these agreements.

Note 9. Related Party Transactions

Omega Protein Corporation

Upon completion of Omega's initial public offering in 1998, Omega and Zapata entered into certain agreements including the Administrative Services Agreement, which covers certain administrative services Omega provides to Zapata. The Sublease Agreement provides for Omega to lease its principal corporate offices in Houston, Texas from Zapata and provides Omega with the ability to utilize telephone equipment worth approximately \$21,000 for no additional charge. The Administrative Services Agreement allows Omega to provide certain administrative services to Zapata at Omega's estimated cost. Zapata reimbursed Omega \$5,800 and \$1,450 for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, for services provided under the plan.

Zap.Com Corporation

Since its inception, Zap.Com has utilized the services of the Zapata's management and staff under a shared services agreement that allocated these costs on a percentage of time basis. Zap. Com also subleases its office space in Rochester, New York from Zapata. Under the sublease agreement, annual rental payments are allocated on a cost basis. Zapata has waived its rights under the shared services agreement to be reimbursed for these expenses since May 1, 2000. For the three months ended March 31, 2002 and for the year ended December 31, 2002, approximately \$3,000 and \$12,000, respectively, was recorded as contributed capital for these services.

Other

During 2002, the Company finalized the terms of a consulting agreement with its former Chairman of the Board of Directors, Malcolm Glazer. Subject to the terms of the agreement, the Company pays Malcolm Glazer \$122,500 per month until April 30, 2006. The agreement also provides for health and other medical benefits for Mr. Glazer and his wife. This agreement will terminate in the event of Mr. Glazer's death or permanent disability.

On March 21, 2002, a stockholder of the company, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of Zapata stockholders, filed suit against Malcolm I. Glazer, the Malcolm I. Glazer Family Limited Partnership, and Malcolm I. Glazer GP, Inc., claiming that the defendants purchased shares in the Company using non-public information. Zapata is named as a nominal plaintiff. Pursuant to an indemnification agreement, the Company is required to advance defense costs, including attorney's fees and disbursements, to Malcolm Glazer in connection with this type of litigation. In addition, the Company is obligated to indemnify Mr. Glazer for any verdict or judgment returned against him under such circumstances, given that he served as the Company's Chairman of the Board at the time that the acts occurred; however, the Company maintains Directors and Officers Liability insurance that limits this exposure. For the three months ended March 31, 2003, the Company incurred legal fees of approximately \$17,000 related to this complaint.

Note 10. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46, "Consolidated of Variable Interest Entities." This standard clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, and addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities (more commonly known as Special Purpose Entities of SPE's). FIN No. 46 requires existing unconsolidated variable interest entities to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risk among the parties involved. FIN No. 46 also enhances the disclosure requirements related to variable interest entities. The disclosure requirements of this interpretation are effective for all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements of this interpretation are effective for all periods beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of FIN No. 46 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" (FIN No. 45), which expands previously issued accounting guidance and disclosure requirements for certain guarantees. The Interpretation requires an entity to recognize an initial liability for the fair value of an obligation assumed by issuing a guarantee. The provision for

initial recognition and measurement of the liability will be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company adopted SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2002, FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of that Statement to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Finally, this Statement amends APB Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting", to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. Although the Company continues to account for stock- based compensation according to APB 25, the Company has adopted the required disclosure provisions for interim financial reporting under SFAS No. 148 at March 31, 2003. As a result of the Company's continued use of the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based compensation, the transition provisions did not have an effect of the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows upon adoption of SFAS 148.

At the end of June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires that obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset be recorded as a liability when those obligations are incurred, with the amount of the liability initially measured at fair value. Upon initially recognizing a liability for an asset retirement obligation, an entity must capitalize the cost by recognizing an increase in the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, an entity either settles the obligation for its recorded amount or incurs a gain or loss upon settlement. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 11. Industry Segment and Geographic Information

Prior to the sale of the Company's Viskase shares, Zapata primarily operated in two industry segments: the Food segment, consisting of Omega Protein and Viskase and Zap.Com. Since the sale of the Company's Viskase shares, the Food segment has been exclusive to Omega Protein. Accordingly, as of January 1, 2003, all activity related to Omega Protein is reported as a separate segment.

The following summarizes certain financial information of each segment for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002:

	Revenues	Operating Income	Total Assets
ree Months Ended March 31, 2003			
Omega	\$25,101	\$ 4,226	\$180,992
Zap.Com	_	(30)	2,046
Corporate	\$ <u> </u>	\$(1,450) ———	\$102,699 ————
	\$25,101	\$ 2,746	\$285,737
hree Months Ended March 31, 2002			
Food	\$23,479	\$ 4,487	\$167,131
Zap.com	_	(50)	2,188
Corporate	_	(1,010)	102,886
	\$23,479	\$ 3,427	\$272,205

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q, future filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), the Company's press releases and oral statements by authorized officers of the Company are intended to be subject to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Investors are cautioned that all forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainty, including without limitation those identified from time to time in press releases and other communications with stockholders by the Company and the filings made with the Commission by the Company, Omega Protein Corporation ("Omega Protein" or "Omega") and Zap.Com Corporation ("Zap.Com"), such as those disclosed under the caption "Significant Factors That Could Affect Future Performance and Forward-Looking Statements" appearing in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation" of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 filed with the Commission or elsewhere in this report. The Company believes that forward-looking statements made by it are based on reasonable expectations. However, no assurances can be given that actual results will not differ materially from those contained in such forward-looking statements. The Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements or to update the reasons actual results could differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

General

Zapata is a holding company which currently has one principal operating company, Omega Protein, in which it has a 60% ownership interest. Omega Protein is the nation's largest marine protein company and is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "OME." In addition, Zapata owns 98% of its subsidiary, Zap.Com, which is currently a public shell corporation and trades on the over-the-counter electronic bulletin board under the symbol "ZPCM." Zapata's consolidated financial statements include Zapata Corporation and its wholly and majority-owned domestic and foreign subsidiaries.

Zapata Corporate

Zapata currently is engaged in the search for one or more operating businesses to acquire. The Company continues to consider acquisitions, business combinations, or start up proposals, which could be advantageous to stockholders. The Company has not focused and does not intend to focus its acquisition efforts solely on any particular industry or geographical market. While the Company focuses its attention in the United States, the Company may investigate acquisition opportunities outside of the United States when management believes that such opportunities might be attractive. Similarly, the Company does not yet know the structure of any acquisition. The Company may pay consideration in the form of cash, securities of the Company or a combination of both. The Company may utilize non-investment grade securities as a part of an acquisition strategy. Such investments often involve a high degree of risk and must be considered highly speculative.

As of the date of this report, Zapata is not a party to any agreement for the acquisition of an operating business. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to locate and consummate a suitable acquisition or that any acquisitions which are consummated will ultimately prove to be beneficial to the Company and its stockholders.

On June 17, 2002, Zapata announced that the Board of Directors authorized management to explore ways to enhance Zapata stockholder value through its majority owned subsidiary Omega Protein. The Board asked Zapata management to consider increasing Zapata's ownership position in Omega Protein or in the alternative pursuing a possible sale, merger or another significant strategic transaction involving Omega Protein. Since June 2002, Zapata management has had discussions with various investment banks to determine whether to engage one of them to assist the Company in exploring potential transactions involving Omega Protein. As of the date of this report, no offers have been received and no agreements or understandings have been entered into by the Company relative to Omega Protein. There can be no assurance, that a satisfactory transaction involving Omega Protein will emerge, the timing of any such transaction, if any; or whether the transaction will ultimately enhance Zapata stockholder value or how that value will be realized.

Omega Protein

During 1999 and continuing through 2000, world grain and oilseed markets were burdened by excess supplies relative to demand which, in turn, resulted in prices for most major commodities being sharply lower than in previous years. The depressed pricing conditions in worldwide markets in 1999 and 2000 for protein, particularly

animal and oilseed oil, continued into the early months of 2001 before making significant improvements late in 2001 and continuing throughout 2002. These price increases were the result of diminished global fish meal and fish oil inventories as opposed to a weaker world demand for other competing products. Omega Protein has reported that its management believes that it is possible that these price increases have reached a plateau and stabilized at this time. Future product price volatility will depend upon the perceived international availability of fish meal and fish oil inventories. Accordingly, gross profit margins may vary in the future.

In an effort to reduce price volatility and to generate higher, more consistent profit margins, Omega Protein is continuing its efforts towards the production and marketing of specialty meal products, which generally have higher margins than Omega's FAQ meal product. Since 2000, Omega's sales volumes of specialty meal products have increased approximately 26%. Additionally, Omega Protein is attempting to introduce its refined fish oil into the food market. Omega Protein has had some success selling its refined fish oil, trademarked OmegaPureTM, to food manufacturers in the United States and Canada at prices that provide substantially improved margins over the margins that can be obtained from selling non-refined crude fish oil. Omega has reported that it cannot estimate the size of the actual domestic market for OmegaPureTM or how long it may take to develop this market.

Historically, approximately 35% to 40% of Omega's FAQ fish meal was sold on a two-to-twelve-month forward contract basis. The balance of regular grade and other products was substantially sold on a spot basis through purchase orders. Omega Protein undertook a similar forward sales program for its specialty grade meals and crude fish oil for 2002 and has continued this program for 2003. Omega's annual revenues are highly dependent on both annual fish catch and inventories and, in addition, inventory is generally carried over from one year to another year. Omega Protein determines the level of inventory to be carried over based on prevailing market prices of the products and anticipated customer usage and demand during the off season. Thus, production volume does not necessarily correlate with sales volume in the same year and sales volumes will fluctuate from quarter to quarter. Omega's fish meal products have a useable life of approximately one year from date of production. Practically, however, Omega typically attempts to empty its warehouses of the previous season's products by the second or third month of the new fishing season. Omega's crude fish oil products do not lose efficacy unless exposed to oxygen and therefore, their storage life typically is longer than that of fish meal.

The following table sets forth Omega Protein's revenues by product (in millions) and the approximate percentage of total revenues represented thereby, for the indicated periods:

Three	Months	Ended	March 31.
1 IIIree	MOHUIS	Enaea	March 51.

	20	2003		2002		
	Revenues	Percent	Revenues	Percent		
Regular Grade	\$ 3.5	14.0%	\$ 2.8	12.1%		
Special Select	9.6	38.2	8.7	36.9		
Sea-Lac	3.4	13.5	2.1	9.0		
Crude Oil	7.2	28.7	8.4	35.7		
Refined Oil	0.8	3.2	0.9	3.8		
Fish Solubles	0.6	2.4	0.6	2.5		
Total	\$25.1	100.0%	\$23.5	100.0%		

Omega Protein announced on April 15, 2003, that it had committed to build a new 100-metric ton per day fish oil processing facility at its Reedville, Virginia location. Construction on the project is scheduled to begin in May 2003, with projected completion in May 2004 and will cost approximately \$16 million. Omega currently anticipates that it will fund the project through its available cash balances.

Omega from time to time considers potential transactions including, but not limited to, enhancement of physical facilities to improve production capabilities and the acquisition of other businesses. Certain of the potential transactions reviewed by Omega would, if completed, result in its entering new lines of business (generally including certain businesses to which Omega sells its products such as pet food manufacturers, aquaculture feed manufacturers, fertilizer companies and organic foods distributors) although historically, reviewed opportunities have been generally related in some manner to Omega's existing operations. Although Omega does not, as of the date hereof, have any commitment with respect to a material acquisition or transaction (other than the previously announced fish oil processing facility in Reedville, Virginia), it could enter into such agreement in the future.

A general hardening of the world insurance markets in recent years has made Omega's insurance more costly and is likely to continue to do so as various lines of insurance come up for renewal throughout 2003. Depending on the magnitude of the increase in insurance premiums, Omega may elect to increase its deductibles and self-retentions in order to achieve lower insurance premium costs. These higher deductibles and self-retentions will expose Omega Protein to greater risk of loss if claims occur.

Zap.Com

On December 15, 2000, Zap.Com's Board of Directors concluded that Zap.Com's operations were not likely to become profitable in the foreseeable future and, therefore, it was in the best interest of Zap.Com and its stockholders to cease all Internet operations. Since that date, Zap.Com has terminated all salaried employees and all third party contractual relationships entered into in connection with its Internet business.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2002

Zapata reported consolidated net income of \$750,000 or \$0.31 per share on revenues of \$25.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 compared to consolidated net income of \$1.2 million or \$0.51 per share on revenues of \$23.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2002. Omega's net income for the three months ended March 31, 2003 was \$2.6 million as compared to \$2.7 million for the comparable period of the prior year. On a consolidated basis, decreased gross profit at Omega Protein combined with increased selling, general and administrative expenses at Omega and at Zapata Corporate, contributed to a decrease in net income.

Revenues. For the three months ended March 31, 2003, Zapata's consolidated revenues increased approximately 7% to \$25.1 million from \$23.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2002. The revenue increase was primarily due to higher sales prices of 8% for Omega Protein's fish meal. Omega's fish meal volumes increased 9% while fish oil volumes decreased 15%, for the three months ended March 31, 2003, compared to the corresponding period in 2002.

Cost of Revenues. Zapata's consolidated cost of revenues as a percentage of consolidated revenues was 74% in the first quarter of 2003, an increase of 2% over the corresponding period in 2002. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to higher cost inventories carried forward from 2002 as compared to inventories carried forward from 2001.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Zapata's consolidated selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$548,000 or 18% for the three months ended March 31, 2003 as compared to the first quarter of the prior year. This increase was primarily due to pension expense recognized at Zapata Corporate. Due to a continued reduction in actual and expected returns on pension plan assets, Zapata Corporate recorded pension expense of approximately \$142,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2003 as compared to pension income of approximately \$161,000 during the same period in the prior year. In addition, the increase was attributable to an increase in legal and professional fees at Zapata Corporate, combined with increased employee-related costs at Omega Protein related to its plan to expand Mexican operations.

Interest Income, Net. Interest income, net decreased by \$76,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003 as compared to the comparable quarter of the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to lower interest rates on cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments as compared to the previous quarter.

Income taxes. The Company recorded a consolidated provision for income taxes of \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 as compared to a provision of \$1.3 million for the comparable period of the prior year. The consolidated provision for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 primarily comprised of Omega's provision of \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, resulting from taxable income, partially offset by a benefit of \$357,000 and \$265,000, respectively, at Zapata Corporate. Depending on a number of factors, the Company may incur a personal holding company tax in the future. See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Significant Factors That Could Affect Future Performance and Forward-Looking Statements" from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Construction Commitment (4)

Total Commercial Commitments

General

Zapata, Omega Protein and Zap.Com are separate public companies. Accordingly, the capital resources and liquidity of Omega Protein and Zap.Com are legally independent of Zapata. The working capital and other assets of Omega Protein and Zap.Com are dedicated to their respective operations and are not expected to be readily available for the general corporate purposes of Zapata, except for any dividends that may be declared and paid to their respective stockholders. Omega Protein's credit facility prohibits any dividends from being declared or paid with respect to its outstanding capital stock, including the shares held by Zapata. For the foreseeable future, Zapata does not expect to receive cash dividends on its Omega Protein or Zap.Com shares.

The following tables summarizes information about Zapata's consolidated contractual cash obligations and other commercial commitments (in thousands) as of March 31, 2003 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on its consolidated liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

	Payments Due by Period					
Zapata Consolidated Contractual Cash Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 5 years		After 5 Years
Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$15,200	\$1,292	\$2,833	\$3,208	\$ 7	7,867
Operating Leases	2,037	716	906	147		268
Consulting Agreements ⁽²⁾	5,512	1,695	3,738	79		_
Minimum Pension Liability	12,188	_			12	2,188
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$34,937	\$3,703	\$7,477	\$3,434	\$20	0,323
	_	Amount of C	ommitment Ex	piration Per Perio	- -	
Zapata Consolidated Other Commercial Commitments	Total	Less t		1 to 3 years	4 to 5 years	After 5 Years
Credit Facility ⁽³⁾	\$17,400	\$ -	_	\$ —	\$—	\$
Standby Letters of Credit ⁽³⁾	2,600	2,60	00	_		_
(4)						

(1) As of March 31, 2003, Zapata had \$15.2 million in consolidated indebtedness, all of which was Omega Protein's. Zapata has neither guaranteed nor otherwise agreed to be liable for the repayment of this debt. For more information concerning debt, see Note 5 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Report.

16,000

\$36,000

12,000

\$14,600

4,000

\$4,000

- (2) For more information concerning the consulting agreement with Malcolm Glazer, see Note 9 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Report. Other amounts in this category are related to a consultancy and retirement agreement entered into in 1981 with a former executive officer of the Company.
- (3) As of March 31, 2003, Omega had no cash borrowings outstanding under its \$20.0 million credit facility. This credit facility is reduced by outstanding standby letters of credit totaling approximately \$2.6 million. For more information concerning Omega's credit facility and standby letters of Credit, see Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Report.
- (4) Omega Protein announced on April 15, 2003 that it had committed to build a new 100-metric ton per day fish oil processing facility at its Reedville, Virginia location. Construction on the project is scheduled to

begin in May 2003, with projected completion in May 2004 and will cost approximately \$16 million. Omega currently anticipates that it will fund the project through its available cash balances.

Zapata Corporate

Because Zapata does not guarantee or otherwise assume any liability for Omega Protein or Zap.Com or have any investment commitments to either Omega Protein or Zap.Com, it is useful to separately review the cash obligations of Zapata exclusive of Omega and Zap.Com ("Zapata Corporate").

Zapata Corporate's current source of liquidity is its cash, cash equivalents and short- and long-term investments and the interest income it earns on these funds. Zapata expects these assets to continue to be a source of liquidity except to the extent that they may be used to fund any acquisitions or to purchase Zapata or Omega common stock. Zapata Corporate's investments consist of U.S. Government agency securities and cash equivalents. At March 31, 2003, Zapata Corporate's cash, cash equivalents and short- and long-term investments were \$83.5 million as compared to \$85.0 million as of December 31, 2002.

In addition to its cash, cash equivalents, short- and long-term investments and interest income, Zapata Corporate has a potential secondary source of liquidity in its publicly traded securities of Omega Protein and Zap.Com. Zapata's holdings of Omega Protein and Zap.Com stock constitute "restricted stock" under SEC Rule 144 and may only be sold in the public market pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 and under any required state securities laws or pursuant to an available exemption. These and other securities law restrictions could prevent or delay any sale by Zapata of these securities or reduce the amount of proceeds that might otherwise be realized therefrom. Currently, all of Zapata's equity securities holdings are eligible for sale under Rule 144. Zapata also has demand and piggyback registration rights for its Omega Protein and Zap.Com shares. The low volume of trading in Omega's shares and the thin market in Zap.Com's shares will make it difficult for Zapata to sell any significant number of shares in the public market.

Zapata Corporate's liquidity needs are primarily for operating expenses, litigation, insurance costs, possible stock purchases and acquisitions. Zapata Corporate may also invest a significant portion of its cash assets in one or more operating businesses. Although the Company believes it will have sufficient funds for future acquisitions, depending on the size of future acquisitions, it may need to raise additional capital through the issuance of equity or debt. There is no assurance, however, that such capital will be available at the time, in the amounts necessary or with terms satisfactory to Zapata.

The following table summarizes information about Zapata Corporate's contractual cash obligations (in thousands) as of March 31, 2003, and the effects such obligations are expected to have on Zapata Corporate's liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

	Payments Due by Period					
Zapata Corporate Contractual Cash Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 5 years	After 5 Years	
Operating Leases	\$ 519	\$ 283	\$ 236	\$—	\$ —	
Consulting Agreements ⁽¹⁾	5,512	1,695	3,738	79		
Minimum Pension Liability	841	_	_	_	841	
				_		
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$6,872	\$1,978	\$3,974	\$79	\$841	
				_		

⁽¹⁾ For more information concerning the consulting agreement with Malcolm Glazer, see Note 6 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Report. Other amounts in this category are related to a consultancy and retirement agreement entered into in 1981 with a former executive officer of the Company.

In the absence of unforeseen developments, Zapata believes that it has sufficient liquidity to fund Zapata Corporate's operating expenses and other operational requirements at least for the 12 months following the date of this report.

Summary of Cash Flows

The following table summarizes Zapata's consolidating cash flow information (in thousands):

	Zapata Corporate	Omega Protein	Zap.Com	Consolidated
Three Months Ended March 31, 2003				
Cash (used in) provided by				
Operating activities	\$ (1,484)	\$11,954	\$(43)	\$ 10,427
Investing activities	(42,324)	(3,443)	_	(45,767)
Financing activities		(309)	_	(309)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash				
equivalents	\$(43,808)	\$ 8,202	\$(43)	\$(35,649)
	_		_	
	Zapata Corporate	Omega Protein	Zap.Com	Consolidated
Three Months Ended March 31, 2002				
Cash (used in) provided by				
Operating activities	\$ (1,729)	\$11,194	\$(3)	\$ 9,462
Investing activities	(9,804)	(2,536)	_	(12,340)
Financing activities		(353)	_	(353)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash				
equivalents	\$(11,533)	\$ 8,305	\$(3)	\$ (3,231)
			_	

Net cash provided by operating activities.

Consolidated cash provided by operating activities increased slightly during the three months ended March 31, 2003 as compared to the same period in the prior year. The modest increase was primarily due to an increase in net income generated by Omega Protein during the quarter ended March 31, 2003 as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Net cash used in investing activities.

On a consolidated basis, Zapata had net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2003 and March 31, 2002, respectively. Variations in the Company's consolidated net cash (used in) provided by investing activities are typically the result of the change in the mix of cash and cash equivalents and short and long-term investments during the period. All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents and all investments with original maturities of greater than three months are classified as either short or long-term investments. The increase in net cash usage was primarily due to the increase in purchases of short-term investments during the period as compared to the same period in the prior year and Omega's increased capital expenditures during the current period as compared to the same period in the prior year. Omega anticipates making approximately \$24 million of capital expenditures in 2003, a significant portion of which will be used to build a new 100-metric ton per day fish oil processing facility and to refurbish vessels and plant assets and to repair certain equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities.

Consolidated cash used in financing activities decreased slightly during the three months ended March 31, 2003 as compared to the same period in the prior year. The modest decrease was primarily due to a decrease in Omega Protein's repayments of long-term obligations during the period as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46, "Consolidated of Variable Interest Entities." This standard clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, and addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities (more commonly known as Special Purpose

Entities of SPE's). FIN No. 46 requires existing unconsolidated variable interest entities to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risk among the parties involved. FIN No. 46 also enhances the disclosure requirements related to variable interest entities. The disclosure requirements of this interpretation are effective for all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements of this interpretation are effective for all periods beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of FIN No. 46 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" (FIN No. 45), which expands previously issued accounting guidance and disclosure requirements for certain guarantees. The Interpretation requires an entity to recognize an initial liability for the fair value of an obligation assumed by issuing a guarantee. The provision for initial recognition and measurement of the liability will be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The adoption of FIN No. 45 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2002, FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of that Statement to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Finally, this Statement amends APB Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting", to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. Although the Company continues to account for stock-based compensation according to APB 25, the Company has adopted the required disclosure provisions for interim financial reporting under SFAS No. 148 at March 31, 2003. As a result of the Company's continued use of the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based compensation, the transition provisions did not have an effect of the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows upon adoption of SFAS 148.

At the end of June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires that obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset be recorded as a liability when those obligations are incurred, with the amount of the liability initially measured at fair value. Upon initially recognizing a liability for an asset retirement obligation, an entity must capitalize the cost by recognizing an increase in the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, an entity either settles the obligation for its recorded amount or incurs a gain or loss upon settlement. The Company adopted SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of Zapata's financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported therein. The following lists our current accounting policies involving significant management judgment and provides a brief description of these policies:

Litigation reserves. The establishment of litigation reserves requires judgments concerning the ultimate outcome of pending litigation against the Company and its subsidiaries. In applying judgment, management utilizes opinions and estimates obtained from outside legal counsel to apply the standards of SFAS No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies." Accordingly, estimated amounts relating to certain litigation have met the criteria for the recognition of a liability under SFAS No. 5. Other litigation for which a liability has not been recognized is reviewed on an ongoing basis in conjunction with the standards of SFAS No. 5. A liability is recognized for all associated legal costs as incurred. Liabilities for litigation settlements, legal fees and changes in these estimated amounts may have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Valuation allowances for deferred income taxes. The Company reduces its deferred tax assets to an amount that it believes is more likely than not to be realized. In so doing, the Company estimates future taxable income in determining if any valuation allowance is necessary. While the Company believes it is more likely than not that it will be able to realize this amount of estimated net deferred income tax benefits, it is possible that the facts and circumstances on which the Company's estimates and judgments are based could change, which could result in additional income tax expense in the future to recognize or increase the associated valuation allowances.

Benefit plan assumptions. On a consolidated basis, the Company has three defined benefit plans, under which participants earn a retirement benefit based upon a formula set forth in each plan. The Company records income or expense related to these plans using actuarially determined amounts that are calculated under the provisions of SFAS No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions." Key assumptions used in the actuarial valuations include the discount rate and the anticipated rate of return on plan assets. These rates are based on market interest rates, and therefore fluctuations in market interest rates could impact the amount of pension income or expense recorded for these plans.

Despite the Company's belief that its estimates are reasonable for these key actuarial assumptions, future actual results will likely differ from the Company's estimates, and these differences could materially affect the Company's future financial statements either unfavorably or favorably. Additionally, it is possible that assets of these plans could decline as a result of negative investment returns, which combined with increasing amounts of accumulated benefit obligations, could result in the Company with respect to its plans and Omega Protein with respect to its plan being required to make significant cash contributions to its plans in future periods.

Omega's lower-of-cost-or-market inventory analysis. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market. Omega Protein's fishing season runs from mid-April to the first of November in the Gulf of Mexico and from the beginning of May into December in the Atlantic. Government regulations generally preclude Omega Protein from fishing during the off-seasons.

Omega Protein's inventory cost system considers all costs associated with an annual fish catch and its processing, both variable and fixed and including both costs incurred during the off-season and during the fishing season. Omega Protein's costing system allocates cost to inventory quantities on a per unit basis as calculated by a formula that considers total estimated inventoriable costs for a fishing season (including off-season costs) to total estimated fish catch and the relative fair market value of the individual products produced. Omega Protein adjusts the cost of sales, off-season costs and inventory balances at the end of each quarter based on revised estimates of total inventoriable costs and fish catch. Omega Protein's lower-of-cost-or-market-value analyses at year-end and at interim periods compare the total estimated per unit production cost of Omega's expected production to the projected per unit market prices of the products. The impairment analyses involve estimates of, among other things, future fish catches and related costs, and expected commodity prices for the fish products. These estimates, which management believes are reasonable and supportable, involve estimates of future activities and events which are inherently imprecise and for which actual results may differ materially. Revisions in such estimates or actual results could materially impact Omega Protein's results of operation and financial position.

Omega's deferral of off-season costs. During the off-seasons, in connection with the upcoming fishing seasons, Omega Protein incurs costs (i.e., plant and vessel related labor, utilities, rent and depreciation) that are directly related to Omega's infrastructure. These costs accumulate in inventory and are applied as elements of the cost of production of Omega Protein's products throughout the fishing season ratably based on Omega's monthly fish catch and the expected total fish catch for the season.

Omega's accounting for self-insurance retentions. As mentioned previously, Omega Protein carries insurance for certain losses relating to its vessels and Jones Act liabilities for employees aboard its vessels (collectively, "Vessel Claims Insurance"). The typical Vessel Claims Insurance policy contains an annual aggregate deductible ("AAD") for which Omega remains responsible, while the insurance carrier is responsible for all applicable amounts which exceed the AAD. It is the Company's policy to accrue current amounts due and record amounts paid out on each claim. Once payments exceed the AAD, the Company records an insurance receivable for a given policy year. Omega Protein provides reserves for those portions of the AAD for which Omega remains responsible by using an estimation process that considers Omega Protein, Inc. specific and industry data as well as Omega Protein management's experience assumptions and consultation with outside counsel. Omega Protein management's current estimated range of liabilities related to such cases is based on claims for which Omega's management can estimate the amount and range of loss. Omega Protein has recorded the minimum estimated liability related to those claims, where there is a

range of loss. As additional information becomes available, Omega will assess the potential liability related to its pending litigation and revise its estimates. Such revisions in estimates of the potential liability could materially impact Omega Protein's results of operation and financial position.

The Company continually updates and assesses the facts and circumstances regarding these critical accounting matters and other significant accounting matters affecting estimates in its financial statements. See Part I – Item 7 – "Significant Factors That Could Affect Future Performance and Forward-Looking Statements" section of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Zapata's investment grade securities include obligations of the U.S. Government or agencies thereof, certificates of deposit and money market deposits. In addition, Zapata holds a limited amount of commercial paper with a rating of A-1 or P-1 and Omega Protein holds commercial paper with a rating of A-2 or P-2.

As the majority of the Company's investment grade securities constitute short-term U.S. Government agency securities, the Company does not believe that the value of these instruments have a material exposure to interest rate risk. However, changes in interest rates do affect the investment income the Company earns on its cash equivalents and marketable securities and, therefore, impacts its cash flows and results of operations. Accordingly, there is inherent roll-over risk for the Company's investment grade securities as they mature and are renewed at current market rates. Using the investment grade security balance of \$83.5 million at March 31, 2003 as a hypothetical constant cash balance, an adverse change of 1% in interest rates would decrease interest income by approximately \$209,000 during a three-month period.

Equity Price Risk

As the Company considers its holdings of Omega Protein and Zap.Com to be a potential source of secondary liquidity, the Company is subject to equity price risk to the extent of fluctuations in the market prices and trading volumes of these securities. Fluctuation in the market price of a security may result from perceived changes in the underlying economic characteristics of the investee, the relative price of alternative investments and general market conditions. Furthermore, amounts realized in the sale of a particular security may be affected by the relative quantity of the security being sold.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") Rules 13a-14(c) and 15-d-14(c)) within 90 days before the filing date of this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information, including that of our consolidated subsidiaries, that we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

Changes in internal controls

Further, there have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our disclosure controls subsequent to our evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Litigation

A non-operating wholly-owned subsidiary of Zapata, Energy Industries, Inc., was named as a defendant in three cases commenced in 1996 and 1997 pending in the 83rd Judicial District Court of Upton County, Texas involving the death of one individual and personal injuries to two others. The cases resulted from an explosion and fire at a gas processing plant in Upton County caused by the alleged failure of a valve cover. Zapata was named as a defendant in one of the cases. The owners of the plant have also filed a cross-claim against Energy Industries for property damage and lost profits resulting from the explosion and fire. Plaintiffs and the cross-plaintiff owners base their claim on a theory of manufacturing or design defect of the valve cover. Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages. Zapata and Energy Industries deny liability in each of the lawsuits, and have vigorously contested these matters and intend to vigorously defend against these actions. In January 2002, the primary insurance carrier for Zapata and Energy Industries claimed for the first time that it did not believe that Energy Industries had primary insurance coverage for the losses arising out of these incidents. This is despite the fact that this primary insurance carrier had been providing for the defense of these actions and had not reserved its rights with respect to that defense. While the primary insurance carrier has not yet discontinued providing for the defense of these actions, it has since formally disclaimed and, in fact, has brought its own declaratory judgment action claiming it does not owe a duty of indemnification. A loss of primary insurance coverage should not jeopardize the excess coverage that Zapata or Energy Industries has for these claims. These cases involve plaintiffs with very serious injuries, including death. While the results of any ultimate resolution of these lawsuits cannot be predicted, in the opinion of the Company's management, based upon discussions with defense counsel, it is unlikely that any losses resulting fr

Zapata and Omega Protein were named as defendants in a lawsuit instituted on March 10, 2003 in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada by Omega Protein shareholder Robert Strougo. Plaintiff brought the action individually and as a putative class action on behalf of all Omega Protein stockholders. No class period has been identified. Also named as defendants in the lawsuit are Avram A. Glazer, Chairman, President and CEO of Zapata and Darcie Glazer, a director of Zapata, both of whom are also directors of Omega Protein, and all other Omega Protein directors. Plaintiff claims that the individual defendants and Zapata breached their fiduciary duties to Omega Protein's stockholders by not properly considering a so-called offer sent via e-mail to Zapata by Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford, a Florida partnership. News reports have identified a Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford partner, Theodore Roxford, as the former Lawrence Niren. Mr. Roxford is the subject of a March 18, 2003 New York Times article entitled "A Financial Big Shot With an Unusual Past" and a June 19, 1995 Forbes article entitled "Stop Me Before I Steal Again". The complaint alleges that the "offer" was to acquire all of Zapata's shares for \$45.00 per share. It also alleges that the offer was to acquire all of Omega's shares for \$45.00 per share. Plaintiff claims that Zapata and the individual defendants breached their duties to Omega's stockholders by rejecting the purported offer and that Omega Protein's stockholders have been damaged by being prevented from receiving a fair price for their stock. Plaintiff seeks an order directing the defendants to carry out their fiduciary duties to Omega Protein's stockholders, to refrain from breaching them, and awarding plaintiff unspecified compensatory damages and his costs and expenses incurred in the action. The Company is not aware of any e-mail sent by Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford to Omega Protein or any offer for the purchase of Omega Protein shares. The Company believes that the claims are wit

The Company has moved to dismiss the action. Omega Protein has answered the complaint denying all allegations and has moved for summary judgment. On April 17, 2003, Plaintiff's counsel filed papers in opposition to Zapata's motion to dismiss and Omega's motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff's counsel also filed a cross motion to amend the complaint and a proposed amended complaint. The amended complaint seeks to add new plaintiffs, both of whom are alleged to be Zapata and Omega stockholders and Zapata's other directors as additional defendants. The proposed amended complaint makes additional factual allegations and alleges breach of fiduciary duties owed by the defendants to Omega and Zapata stockholders by not considering the so-called offer referred to in the original complaint and a second so-called offer sent via e-mail by Hollingsworth, Rothwell & Roxford on March 9, 2003. The proposed amended complaint alleges that initial offer was raised to \$50.00 per share contingent on Zapata and

certain of the named defendants meeting with Hollingsworth, Rothwell, and Roxford. The Company has filed responsive papers in support of its motion to dismiss and in opposition to the proposed amendment. Motion argument is scheduled for May 12, 2003.

Zapata is involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its past and current operations in the normal course of business. Zapata maintains insurance coverage against such potential ordinary course claims in an amount in which it believes to be adequate. While the results of any ultimate resolution cannot be predicted, in the opinion of Zapata's management, based upon discussions with counsel, any losses resulting from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on Zapata's results of operations, cash flow or financial position.

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to various possible claims and lawsuits regarding environmental matters. Management believes that costs, if any, related to these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

Item 2. Changes in Securities

None.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits:

10(q)+	Form of February 28, 2003 Indemnification Agreement by and among Zapata and the directors and officers of the Com	ıpany.

- 11 Statement Regarding Computation of Per Share Earnings.
- 99.1 Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.2 Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- + Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K:

Zapata filed an 8-K on March 17, 2003 updating the description of the Company's securities and the rights of its security holders as a Nevada corporation pursuant to the Company's reincorporation merger from Delaware to Nevada.

Dated: May 5, 2003

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ZAPATA CORPORATION (Registrant)

By: /s/ Leonard DiSalvo

(Vice President— Finance and Chief Financial Officer)

25

CERTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 302(a) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Certification of Principal Executive Officer

- I, Avram A. Glazer, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Zapata Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

26

Date: May 5, 2003

/s/ AVRAM A. GLAZER

Avram A. Glazer
President and CEO

CERTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 302(a) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Certification of Principal Financial Officer

- I, Leonard DiSalvo, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Zapata Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date:
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: May 5, 2003

/s/ LEONARD DISALVO

Leonard DiSalvo Vice President – Finance and CFO

ZAPATA CORPORATION INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

This Indemnification Agreement ("*Agreement*") is made effective as of February 28, 2003 by and between **ZAPATA CORPORATION**, a Nevada corporation (the "*Company*"), and Warren H. Gfeller ("*Indemnitee*").

WHEREAS, the Company desires to retain and attract the services of highly qualified individuals, such as Indemnitee, to serve the Company and its related entities;

WHEREAS, the Company and Indemnitee recognize the substantial increase in the risks presented by corporate litigation involving directors and officers; and

WHEREAS, in view of these considerations, the Company desires that Indemnitee shall be indemnified by the Company as set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Company and Indemnitee hereby agree as set forth below.

1. Certain Definitions.

- (a) "Change In Control" shall mean, and shall be deemed to have occurred if, on or after the date of this Agreement, (i) any "person" (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), other than a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company acting in such capacity or a corporation owned directly or indirectly by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock of the Company or the Glazers, becomes the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under said Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing more than 20% of the total voting power represented by the Company's then outstanding Voting Securities, or (ii) during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who at the beginning of such period constitute the Board of Directors of the Company and any new director whose election by the Board of Directors or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of the period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute a majority thereof, or (iii) the stockholders of the Company approve a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other corporation other than a merger or consolidation which would result in the Voting Securities of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into Voting Securities of the Surviving entity) at least 80% of the total voting power represented by the Voting Securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation, or the stockholders of the Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of the Company or an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of (in one t
- (b) "Claim" shall mean any threatened, pending or completed action, suit, proceeding or alternative dispute resolution mechanism, or any hearing, inquiry or investigation that Indemnitee in good faith believes might lead to the institution of any such action, suit, proceeding or alternative dispute resolution mechanism, whether civil, criminal, administrative, investigative or other.
- (c) References to the "*Company*" shall include, in addition to Zapata Corporation, any constituent corporation (including any constituent of a constituent) absorbed in a consolidation or merger to which Zapata Corporation (or any of its wholly owned subsidiaries) has been or becomes a party which, if its separate existence had continued, would have had power and authority to indemnify its directors, officers, employees, agents or fiduciaries, so that if Indemnitee is or was a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of such constituent corporation, or is or was serving at the request of such constituent corporation as a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, employee benefit plan, trust or other enterprise, Indemnitee shall stand in the same position under the provisions of this Agreement with respect to the resulting or

surviving corporation as Indemnitee would have with respect to such constituent corporation if its separate existence had continued.

- (d) "Expenses" shall mean any and all expenses (including attorneys' fees and all other costs, expenses and obligations incurred in connection with investigating, defending, being a witness in or participating in (including on appeal), or preparing to defend, to be a witness in or to participate in, any action, suit, proceeding, alternative dispute resolution mechanism, hearing, inquiry or investigation), judgments, fines, penalties and amounts paid in settlement (if such settlement is approved in advance by the Company, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld) of any Claim regarding any Indemnifiable Event and any federal, state, local or foreign taxes imposed on the Indemnitee as a result of the actual or deemed receipt of any payments under this Agreement.
 - (e) "Expense Advance" shall mean an advance payment of Expenses to Indemnitee pursuant to Section 3(a).
- (f) "Glazers" shall mean Malcolm Glazer, the Malcolm I. Glazer Family Limited Partnership, the Malcolm I. Glazer General Partnership, Inc., any relative of Malcolm Glazer, including, but not limited to, his wife and his offspring, the executor or legal representative of Malcolm Glazer upon his death or disability, and any entity controlled by the foregoing persons or entities, directly or indirectly.
- (g) "Indemnifiable Event" shall mean any event or occurrence related to the fact that Indemnitee is or was a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company, or any subsidiary of the Company, or any predecessor of the Company or subsidiary, or is or was serving at the request of the Company or a predecessor of the Company as a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, or by reason of any action or inaction on the part of Indemnitee while serving in such capacity.
- (h) "Independent Legal Counsel" shall mean an attorney or firm of attorneys, selected in accordance with the provisions of Section 2(c) hereof, who shall not have otherwise performed services for the Company or Indemnitee within the last three years (other than with respect to matters concerning the rights of Indemnitee under this Agreement, or of other indemnitees under similar indemnity agreements).
- (i) References to "Other Enterprises" shall include employee benefit plans; references to "fines" shall include any excise taxes assessed on Indemnitee with respect to an employee benefit plan; and references to "serving at the request of the Company" shall include any service as a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company which imposes duties on, or involves services by, such director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary with respect to an employee benefit plan, its participants or its beneficiaries.
- (j) "Reviewing Party" shall mean (i) the Company's Board of Directors by majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to the particular Claim for which Indemnitee is seeking indemnification, (ii) or, if so ordered by the Company's Board of Directors by majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to the particular Claim for which Indemnitee is seeking indemnification, Independent Legal Counsel in a written opinion, or (iii) if a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to the particular Claim for which Indemnitee is seeking indemnification cannot be found, then Independent Legal Counsel in a written opinion.
 - (k) "Voting Securities" shall mean any securities of the Company that vote generally in the election of directors.

2. Indemnification.

(a) *Indemnification of Expenses*. The Company shall indemnify Indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by law if Indemnitee was or is or becomes a party to or witness or other participant in, or is threatened to be made a party to or witness or other participant in, any Claim by reason of (or arising in part out of) any Indemnifiable Event against Expenses, including all interest, assessments and other charges paid or payable in connection with or in respect of such Expenses. Such payment of Expenses shall be made by the Company as soon as practicable but in any event no later than thirty (30) business days after written demand by Indemnitee therefor is

presented to the Company (or, if demand is made pursuant to Section 3(a) hereof, then no later than the date set forth in such section).

- (b) Reviewing Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the obligations of the Company under Section 2(a) shall be subject to the condition that (except as provided in Section 2(e) or as ordered by a court or advanced pursuant to Section 3(a) hereof), the Reviewing Party shall have determined that indemnification is proper in the circumstances. If there has not been a Change in Control, the Reviewing Party shall be determined by the Board of Directors as set forth in Section 1(j) above, and if there has been such a Change in Control (other than a Change in Control which has been approved by a majority of the Company's Board of Directors who were directors immediately prior to such Change in Control), the Reviewing Party shall be the Independent Legal Counsel. If there has been no determination by the Reviewing Party or if the Reviewing Party determines that Indemnitee substantively would not be permitted to be indemnified in whole or in part under applicable law, Indemnitee shall have the right to commence litigation seeking an initial determination by the court or challenging any such determination by the Reviewing Party or any aspect thereof, including the legal or factual bases therefor, and the Company hereby consents to service of process and to appear in any such proceeding. Absent such litigation, any determination by the Reviewing Party shall be conclusive and binding on the Company and Indemnitee.
- (c) Independent Legal Counsel. With respect to all matters arising concerning the rights of Indemnitee to payments of Expenses and Expense Advances under this Agreement or any other agreement or under the Company's articles of incorporation or bylaws as now or hereafter in effect, Independent Legal Counsel, if called for under this Agreement, shall be selected by Indemnitee and approved by the Company (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld). Such counsel, among other things, shall render its written opinion to the Company and Indemnitee as to whether and to what extent Indemnitee would be permitted to be indemnified under applicable law and the Company agrees to abide by such opinion. The Company agrees to pay the reasonable fees of the Independent Legal Counsel referred to above and to indemnify fully such counsel against any and all expenses (including attorneys' fees), claims, liabilities and damages arising out of or relating to this Agreement or its engagement pursuant hereto. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the Company shall not be required to pay Expenses of more than one Independent Legal Counsel in connection with all matters concerning a single Indemnitee, and such Independent Legal Counsel shall be the Independent Legal Counsel for any or all other Indemnitees unless (i) the Company otherwise determines or (ii) any Indemnitee shall provide a written statement setting forth in detail a reasonable objection to such Independent Legal Counsel representing other Indemnitees.
- (d) *Change In Control*. The Company agrees that if there is a Change in Control of the Company (other than a Change in Control which has been approved by a majority of the Company's Board of Directors who were directors immediately prior to such Change in Control), then, if desired by Indemnitee, Indemnitee shall have the right to choose Independent Legal Counsel as provided for in Section 2(c) above.
- (e) Mandatory Payment of Expenses. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement other than Section 9 hereof, to the extent that Indemnitee has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any Claim relating in whole or in part to an Indemnifiable Event, or in defense of any issue or matter therein, including, without limitation, the dismissal of an action without prejudice, Indemnitee shall be indemnified against all Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection therewith.
- (f) Contribution. If the indemnification provided for in Section 2(a) above for any reason is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unavailable to Indemnitee in respect of any Expenses, then the Company, in lieu of indemnifying Indemnitee thereunder, shall contribute to the amount of Expenses paid or payable by Indemnitee (i) in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect the relative benefits received by the Company and Indemnitee, or (ii) if the allocation provided by clause (i) above is not permitted by applicable law, in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect not only the relative benefits referred to in clause (i) above but also the relative fault of the Company and Indemnitee in connection with the action or inaction which resulted in such Expenses, as well as any other relevant equitable considerations. The Company and Indemnitee agree that it would not be just and equitable if contribution pursuant to this Section 2(f) were determined by pro rata or per capita allocation or by any other method of allocation which does not take account of the equitable considerations referred to in the immediately preceding sentence. No person found guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation (within the meaning of Section 11(f) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended) shall be entitled to contribution from any person who was not found guilty of such fraudulent misrepresentation.

3. Advancement of Expenses; Indemnification Procedure.

- (a) Advancement of Expenses.
- (i) Subject to Section 3(a)(ii), the Company shall advance all Expenses incurred by Indemnitee. The advances to be made hereunder shall be paid by the Company to Indemnitee as soon as practicable but in any event no later than twenty (20) business days after written demand by Indemnitee therefor to the Company.
- (ii) The obligation of the Company to make an Expense Advance shall be conditioned upon receipt by the Company of an undertaking by or on behalf of Indemnitee to repay the amount advanced if it is ultimately determined by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a final judicial determination as to which all rights of appeal have been exhausted or lapsed) that Indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified by the Company. Indemnitee's obligation to reimburse the Company for any Expense Advance shall be unsecured and no interest shall be charged thereon.
- (b) *Notice/Cooperation by Indemnitee*. Indemnitee shall, as a condition precedent to Indemnitee's right to be indemnified under this Agreement, give the Company notice in writing as soon as practicable of any Claim made against Indemnitee for which indemnification will or could be sought under this Agreement. Notice to the Company shall be directed to the Chief Executive Officer of the Company at the address or facsimile number shown on the signature page of this Agreement (or such other address or facsimile number as the Company shall designate in writing to Indemnitee). In addition, Indemnitee shall give the Company such information and cooperation as it may reasonably require and as shall be within Indemnitee's power.
- (c) No Presumptions; Burden of Proof. For purposes of this Agreement, the termination of any Claim by judgment, order, settlement (whether with or without court approval) or conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere, or its equivalent, shall not, of itself, create a presumption that Indemnitee did not meet any particular standard of conduct or have any particular belief or that a court has determined that indemnification is not permitted by applicable law. In addition, neither the failure of the Reviewing Party to have made a determination as to whether Indemnitee has met any particular standard of conduct or had any particular belief, nor an actual determination by the Reviewing Party that Indemnitee has not met such standard of conduct or did not have such belief, prior to the commencement of legal proceedings by Indemnitee to secure a judicial determination that Indemnitee should be indemnified under applicable law, shall be a defense to Indemnitee's claim or create a presumption that Indemnitee has not met any particular standard of conduct or did not have any particular belief. In connection with any determination by the Reviewing Party or otherwise as to whether the Indemnitee is entitled to be indemnified hereunder, the burden of proof shall be on the Company to establish that Indemnitee is not so entitled.
- (d) *Notice to Insurers*. If, at the time of the receipt by the Company of a notice of a Claim pursuant to Section 3(b) hereof, the Company has liability insurance in effect which may cover such Claim, the Company shall give prompt notice of the commencement of such Claim to the insurers in accordance with the procedures set forth in the respective policies. The Company shall thereafter take all necessary or desirable action to cause such insurers to pay, on behalf of the Indemnitee, all amounts payable as a result of such Claim in accordance with the terms of such policies.
- (e) Selection of Counsel. In the event the Company shall be obligated hereunder to pay the Expenses of any Claim, the Company, if appropriate, shall be entitled to assume the defense of such Claim with counsel approved by Indemnitee (not to be unreasonably withheld) upon the delivery to Indemnitee of written notice of the Company's election to do so. After delivery of such notice, approval of such counsel by Indemnitee and the retention of such counsel by the Company, the Company will not be liable to Indemnitee under this Agreement for any fees of counsel subsequently incurred by Indemnitee with respect to the same Claim; provided that, (i) Indemnitee shall have the right to employ Indemnitee's separate counsel in any such Claim at Indemnitee's expense; (ii) Indemnitee shall have the right to employ its own counsel in connection with any such proceeding, at the expense of the Company, if such counsel serves in a review, observer, advice and counseling capacity and does not otherwise materially control or participate in the defense of such proceeding; and (iii) if (A) the employment of separate counsel by Indemnitee has been previously authorized by the Company, (B) Indemnitee shall have reasonably concluded that there may be a conflict of interest between the Company and Indemnitee in the conduct of

any such defense, or (C) the Company shall not continue to retain such counsel to defend such Claim, then the fees and expenses of Indemnitee's separate counsel shall be at the expense of the Company.

4. Additional Indemnification Rights; Nonexclusivity.

- (a) Scope. The Company hereby agrees to indemnify the Indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by law, notwithstanding that such indemnification is not specifically authorized by the other provisions of this Agreement, the Company's articles of incorporation or bylaws (as now or hereafter in effect), or by statute. In the event of any change after the date of this Agreement in any applicable law, statute or rule which expands the right of a Nevada corporation to indemnify a member of its board of directors or an officer, employee, agent or fiduciary, it is the intent of the parties hereto that Indemnitee shall enjoy by this Agreement the greater benefits afforded by such change. In the event of any change in any applicable law, statute or rule which narrows the right of a Nevada corporation to indemnify a member of its board of directors or an officer, employee, agent or fiduciary, such change, to the extent not otherwise required by such law, statute or rule to be applied to this Agreement, shall have no effect on this Agreement or the parties' rights and obligations hereunder except as set forth in Section 9(a) hereof.
- (b) *Nonexclusivity*. The indemnification provided by this Agreement shall be in addition to any rights to which Indemnitee may be entitled under the Company's articles of incorporation or its bylaws (as now or hereafter in effect), any other agreement, any vote of stockholders or disinterested directors, the Nevada Revised Statutes, Chapter 78, or otherwise. The indemnification provided under this Agreement shall continue as to Indemnitee for any action taken or not taken while serving in an indemnified capacity even though Indemnitee may have ceased to serve in such capacity.
- 5. **No Duplication Of Payments.** The Company shall not be liable under this Agreement to make any payment in connection with any Claim made against Indemnitee to the extent Indemnitee has otherwise actually received payment (under any insurance policy, provision of the Company's articles of incorporation, bylaws (as now or hereafter in effect) or otherwise) of the amounts otherwise indemnifiable hereunder.
- 6. **Partial Indemnification**. If Indemnitee is entitled under any provision of this Agreement to indemnification by the Company for some or a portion of Expenses incurred in connection with any Claim, but not, however, for all of the total amount thereof, the Company shall nevertheless indemnify Indemnitee for the portion of such Expenses to which Indemnitee is entitled.
- 7. *Mutual Acknowledgment*. Both the Company and Indemnitee acknowledge that in certain instances, federal law or applicable public policy may prohibit the Company from indemnifying its directors, officers, employees, agents or fiduciaries under this Agreement or otherwise. Indemnitee understands and acknowledges that the Company has undertaken or may be required in the future to undertake with the Securities and Exchange Commission to submit the question of indemnification to a court in certain circumstances for a determination of the Company's right under public policy to indemnify Indemnitee.
- 8. *Liability Insurance*. To the extent the Company maintains liability insurance applicable to directors, officers, employees, agents or fiduciaries, Indemnitee shall be covered by such policies in such a manner as to provide Indemnitee the same rights and benefits as are provided to the most favorably insured of the Company's directors, if Indemnitee is a director; or of the Company's officers, if Indemnitee is not a director of the Company but is an officer; or of the Company's key employees, agents or fiduciaries, if Indemnitee is not an officer or director but is a key employee, agent or fiduciary.
 - 9. *Exceptions*. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the Company shall not be obligated pursuant to the terms of this Agreement:
- (a) Excluded Action or Omissions. To indemnify Indemnitee for acts, omissions or transactions from which Indemnitee may not be relieved of liability under applicable law.
- (b) Claims Initiated by Indemnitee. To indemnify or to make Expense Advances to Indemnitee with respect to Claims initiated or brought voluntarily by Indemnitee and not by way of defense, except (i) with respect to actions or proceedings brought to establish or enforce a right to indemnification under this

Agreement or any other agreement or insurance policy or under the Company's articles of incorporation or bylaws now or hereafter in effect relating to Claims for Indemnifiable Events, (ii) in specific cases if the Board of Directors has approved the initiation or bringing of such Claim, or (iii) as otherwise required under the Nevada Revised Statutes, Chapter 78, regardless of whether Indemnitee ultimately is determined to be entitled to such indemnification, advance expense payment or insurance recovery, as the case may be.

- (c) Lack of Good Faith. To indemnify Indemnitee for any Expenses incurred by the Indemnitee with respect to any proceeding instituted by Indemnitee to enforce or interpret this Agreement, if a court of competent jurisdiction determines that each of the material assertions made by the Indemnitee in such proceeding was not made in good faith or was frivolous.
- (d) Claims Under Section 16(b). To indemnify Indemnitee for Expenses and the payment of profits arising from the purchase and sale by Indemnitee of securities in violation of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or any similar successor statute.
- 10. **Period Of Limitations**. No legal action shall be brought and no cause of action shall be asserted by or in the right of the Company against Indemnitee, Indemnitee's estate, spouse, heirs, executors or personal or legal representatives after the expiration of two years from the date of accrual of such cause of action, and any claim or cause of action of the Company shall be extinguished and deemed released unless asserted by the timely filing of a legal action within such two-year period; provided, however, that if any shorter period of limitations is otherwise applicable to any such cause of action, such shorter period shall govern.
- 11. *Counterparts*. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original and all of which taken together shall constitute one instrument.
- 12. **Binding Effect; Successors And Assigns**. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the parties hereto and their respective successors, assigns (including any direct or indirect successor by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise to all or substantially all of the business or assets of the Company), spouses, heirs and personal and legal representatives. The Company shall require and cause any successor (whether direct or indirect, and whether by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise) to all, substantially all, or a substantial part, of the business or assets of the Company, by written agreement in form and substance satisfactory to Indemnitee, expressly to assume and agree to perform this Agreement in the same manner and to the same extent that the Company would be required to perform if no such succession had taken place. This Agreement shall continue in effect regardless of whether Indemnitee continues to serve as a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary (as applicable) of the Company or of any other enterprise at the Company's request.
- 13. **Attorneys' Fees**. In the event that any action is instituted by Indemnitee under this Agreement or under any liability insurance policies maintained by the Company to enforce or interpret any of the terms hereof or thereof, Indemnitee shall be entitled to be paid all Expenses incurred by Indemnitee with respect to such action, regardless of whether Indemnitee is ultimately successful in such action, and shall be entitled to the advancement of Expenses with respect to such action, unless as a part of such action a court of competent jurisdiction over such action determines that each of the material assertions made by Indemnitee as a basis for such action were not made in good faith or were frivolous. In the event of an action instituted by or in the name of the Company under this Agreement to enforce or interpret any of the terms of this Agreement, Indemnitee shall be entitled to be paid all Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in defense of such action (including costs and expenses incurred with respect to Indemnitee's counterclaims and cross-claims made in such action), and shall be entitled to the advancement of Expenses with respect to such action, unless as a part of such action a court having jurisdiction over such action determines that each of Indemnitee's material defenses to such action were made in bad faith or were frivolous.
- 14. *Notice*. All notices, requests, demands and other communications under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed duly given (i) if delivered by hand and signed for by the party addressed, on the date of such delivery, (ii) if sent by facsimile with written evidence of successful transmission, on the date of such transmission, or (iii) if mailed by domestic certified or registered mail with postage prepaid, on the third business day after the date postmarked. Addresses for notice to either party are as shown on the signature page of this Agreement, or as subsequently modified by written notice.

- 15. **Severability**. The provisions of this Agreement shall be severable in the event that any of the provisions hereof (including any provision within a single section, paragraph or sentence) are held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void or otherwise unenforceable, and the remaining provisions shall remain enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law. Furthermore, to the fullest extent possible, the provisions of this Agreement (including, without limitations, each portion of this Agreement containing any provision held to be invalid, void or otherwise unenforceable, that is not itself invalid, void or unenforceable) shall be construed so as to give effect to the intent manifested by the provision held invalid, illegal or unenforceable.
- 16. *Choice Of Law*. This Agreement shall be governed by and its provisions construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada as applied to contracts between Nevada residents entered into and to be performed entirely within the State of Nevada, without regard to conflict of laws provisions which would otherwise require application of the substantive law of another jurisdiction.
- 17. **Amendment And Termination**. No supplement, amendment, modification, termination or cancellation of this Agreement shall be effective unless it is in writing signed by both the parties hereto. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall be deemed to be or shall constitute a waiver of any other provisions hereof (whether or not similar), nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 18. **Integration and Entire Agreement**. This Agreement together with the articles of incorporation and by-laws sets forth the entire understanding between the parties hereto and, except for any indemnification agreement entered into between Indemnitee and the Company (or its predecessor) prior to the date hereof (the "Existing Indemnification Agreement"), supersedes and merges all previous written and oral negotiations, commitments, understandings and agreements relating to the subject matter hereof between the parties hereto, except that to the extent any Existing Indemnification Agreement provides Indemnitee with any greater rights than those provided for hereunder, such rights shall continue to exist and be in full force and effect.
- 19. *No Construction as Employment Agreement*. Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed as giving Indemnitee any right to be retained in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliated entities.
- 20. **Subrogation**. In the event of payment under this Agreement, the Company shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Indemnitee, who shall execute all papers required and shall do everything that may be necessary to secure such rights, including the execution of such documents necessary to enable the Company effectively to bring suit to enforce such rights.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Indemnification Agreement as of the date first above written.

ZAPATA CORPORATION

By: /s/ LEONARD DISALVO Name: Leonard DiSalvo

Title: Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Address: 100 Meridian Centre, Suite 350 Rochester, New York 14618

> Tel: 585-242-2000 Fax: 585-242-8677

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED

INDEMNITEE: /s/ WARREN H. GFELLER

Warren H. Gfeller

SCHEDULE TO EXHIBIT 10(q) - FORM OF

FEBRUARY 28, 2003 INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT BY AND AMONG ZAPATA AND THE DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

The Indemnification Agreement filed as Exhibit 10(q) is substantially identical in all material respects to the indemnification agreements which have been entered into by Zapata Corporation and the following directors and officers effective as of February 28, 2003:

Bryan G. Blazer
Darcie S. Glazer
Edward S. Glazer
Gordon Forth
Robert V. Leffler, Jr.
John R. Halldow
Leonard DiSalvo

Avram A. Glazer

STATEMENT REGARDING COMPUTATION OF PER SHARE EARNINGS

ZAPATA CORPORATION

Statement Regarding Computation of Per Share Earnings

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 (unaudited)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2002 (unaudited)
Net income (in thousands)	\$ 750	\$1,224
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	2,391	2,391
Net income per share – basic	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.51
Dilutive effect of outstanding options	10	5
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted	2,401	2,396
Net income per share – diluted	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.51

CERTIFICATION OF CEO PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Zapata Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Avram A. Glazer, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to the best of my knowledge, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Avram A. Glazer

/s/ Avram A. Glazer

Avram A. Glazer Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer May 5, 2003

This Certification accompanies this Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

CERTIFICATION OF CFO PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Zapata Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Leonard DiSalvo, as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to the best of my knowledge, that:

- (3) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (4) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Leonard DiSalvo
Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Leonard DiSalvo

May 5, 2003

This Confidential recommendation is Boront assessment of Society 2000 of the Society Conference Online Act of 2000

This Certification accompanies this Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.